arXiv:1408.5237v2 [astro-ph.CO] 3 Nov 2014

Field Equation of Correlation Function of Mass Density Fluctuation for Self-Gravitating Systems

Yang Zhang* and Qing Chen†
Key Laboratory for Researches in Galaxies and Cosmology,
Department of Astronomy, University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China

November 4, 2014

Abstract

We study the mass density distribution of the Newtonian self-gravitating system. Modeling the system either as a gas in thermal equilibrium, or as a fluid in hydrostatical equilibrium, we obtain the field equation of correlation function $\xi(r)$ of the mass density fluctuation itself. It can apply to the study of galaxy clustering on Universe large scales. The observed $\xi(r) \simeq (r_0/r)^{1.7}$ follows from first principle.

The equation tells that $\xi(r)$ depends on the point mass m and Jeans wavelength scale λ_0, which are different for galaxies and clusters. It explains several longstanding, prominent features of the observed clustering: the profile of $\xi_{cc}(r)$ of clusters is similar to $\xi_{gg}(r)$ of galaxies but with a higher amplitude and a longer correlation length, the correlation length increases with the mean separation between clusters $r_0 \simeq 0.4d$ as the observed scaling, and on very large scales $\xi_{cc}(r)$ exhibits periodic oscillations with a characteristic wavelength $\sim$ 120Mpc. With a set of fixed model parameters, the solution $\xi(r)$ for galaxies and for clusters, the power spectrum, the projected, and the angular correlation function, simultaneously agree with the observational data from the surveys, such as Automatic Plate Measuring (APM), Two-degree-Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS), and Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), etc.

1 Introduction

To understand the matter distribution in Universe on large scales is one of the major goals of modern cosmology. The large scale structure is determined by self gravity of galaxies and clusters. It brings interest to the study of self-gravitating systems. Since the number of galaxies as the typical objects is enormous, one needs statistics to study the distribution. In this regard, the 2-point correlation function $\xi_{gg}(r)$ of galaxies and $\xi_{cc}(r)$ of clusters serve as a powerful statistical tool [11, 46]. It not only provides the statistical information, but also contains the underlying dynamics mainly due to gravitational force. Therefore, we would like to investigate the correlation functions of self gravitating system in thermal equilibrium for the first step although the real Universe is not in thermal equilibrium.

Over the years, various observational surveys have been carried out for galaxies and for clusters, such as the Automatic Plate Measuring (APM) galaxy survey [40], the Two-degree-Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS)[44], Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS)[1], etc. All these surveys suggest that the correlation of galaxies has a power law form $\xi_{gg}(r) \propto (r_0/r)^\gamma$ with $r_0 \sim 5.4h^{-1}$Mpc and $\gamma \sim 1.7$ in a range $(0.1 \sim 10)h^{-1}$Mpc [61, 32, 46, 31, 58]. The correlation

*yzh@ustc.edu.cn
†cqpb@mail.ustc.edu.cn

of clusters is found to be of a similar form: $\xi_{cc}(r) \sim 20\xi_{gg}(r)$ in a range $(5 \sim 60)h^{-1}$Mpc, with an amplified magnitude [9, 37]. For quasars $\xi_{qq}(r) \sim 5\xi_{gg}(r)$[57].

In the past, numerical computations and simulations have been extensively employed to study the clustering of galaxies and of clusters, and significant progresses have been made. To understand the physical mechanism behind the clustering, analytical studies are important. In particular, Reference [54, 53, 52, 51] used macroscopic thermodynamic variables, such as internal energy, entropy, pressure, etc, for adequate descriptions, whereby the power-law form of $\xi_{gg}(r)$ was introduced as modifications to the energy and pressure. Similarly, Reference [22, 20] used the grand partition function of the self-gravitating gas to study a possible fractal structure of the correlation function of galaxies. However the field equation of ξ was not given in these studies.

Also adopting statistical mechanics, we employ the techniques of the generating functional $Z[J]$. This practice has been well known in particle physics and condensed matter physics. The key point is that we express $Z[J]$ as a path integral over the mass density field ψ, instead of the gravitational potential. The functional derivatives of $\ln Z[J]$ give the connected Green functions $G^{(n)}(r_1, ..., r_n) = \langle \delta\psi(r_1)...\delta\psi(r_n)\rangle$, i.e., the correlation functions of the density fluctuation $\delta\psi$ about the mean density $\psi_0 = \langle\psi\rangle$ [67, 66]. In order to set up the field equation of the 2-pt correlation function $G^{(2)}(r)$, we first derive the field equation of the mass density field ψ, which is equivalent to the well-known Lane-Emden equation for the gravitational field [28]. The use of the density field ψ suits our purpose. This has been achieved by modeling the system either as a gas in thermal equilibrium, or as a fluid at rest in the gravitational field in hydrostatical equilibrium. The equation of ψ is highly nonlinear. To deal with this issue, we apply the perturbation method, let $\psi = \psi_0 + \delta\psi$, and expand the equation in terms of small quantity $\delta\psi$. We keep only up to $(\delta\psi)^2$ and drop off higher order terms. By taking the ensemble average of the field equation of $\psi(\mathbf{r})$, and taking functional derivative $\delta/\delta J(\mathbf{r})$ of the averaged equation, the *field equation* of $G^{(2)}(r)$ is derived. The advantage of this formulation is that the field equation of $G^{(n)}(r_1, ..., r_n)$ for any n can be also derived systematically. As is anticipated, the 3-point correlation function $G^{(3)}$ also appear in the field equation of $G^{(2)}$ to this order of perturbations. To cut off the hierarchy, $G^{(3)}$ can be expressed as the products of $G^{(2)}$ by the Kirkwood-Groth-Peebles ansatz [36, 32]. In the procedure, the quantities like $G^{(2)}(0)$, $\nabla G^{(2)}(0)$, and $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)$ also show up, as always happens for any interacting field theory when going to high orders of perturbations. After necessary renormalization to absorb these quantities, we end up with the nonlinear field equation of $G^{(2)}(r)$, also denoted as $\xi(r)$, with three parameters, a, b, c, as the coefficients of nonlinear terms beyond the Gaussian approximation.

The formulation applies to the system of galaxies and to the system of clusters as well, whereby the particle mass m and the Jeans wavelength λ_J can vary in the field equation. With a set of fixed values of a, b, c, the solution $\xi(r)$ will confront simultaneously the observational data of galaxies and of clusters. For galaxies, this will also be done for the power spectrum, the projected, and angular correlation functions. This work surpasses the previous sketched work [67, 66] by presenting the detailed derivation of the field equation, the renormalization, and modifications of new nonlinear terms. Besides, this work also presents the projected, and angular correlation functions, and their direct comparisons with the observations.

In section 2, we shall derive the field equation of $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ by hydrostatics, and write down the generating functional $Z[J]$.

In section 3, we shall derive the nonlinear field equation of $\xi(r)$.

In section 4, by inspecting the resulting equation of $\xi(r)$, we shall give its several predictions about the prominent features of galaxy correlation, cluster correlation, and the large scale structure.

In section 5, we shall present the solution $\xi(r)$ for a fixed set of parameters (a, b, c), and confront with the observed correlation function for galaxies. Similar comparisons will be carried out to the power spectrum, the projected, and angular correlation functions, correspondingly.

In section 6 we shall apply the same solution $\xi(r)$ with a greater mass m to the system

of clusters, and compare with the observational data of clusters. The observed scaling of the "correlation length" r_0 will be explained and the observed ~ 120Mpc periodic oscillations will be interpreted.

Section 7 contains conclusions, discusses.

In Appendix A, we give the formulation of the grand partition function of the self-gravitating system in terms of path integral over the gravitational field.

In Appendix B, by the technique of functional differentiation, we present the comprehensive details of the derivation of the field equation of $G^{(2)}(r)$ and its renormalization involved.

We use a unit with the speed of light $c = 1$ and the Boltzmann constant $k_B = 1$.

2 Field Equation of Mass Density of Self-Gravitating System

Galaxies, or clusters, distributed in Universe can be approximately described as a fluid at rest in the gravitational field due to the fluid, i.e, by hydrostatics. This modeling is an approximation since the cosmic expansion is not considered. As has been discussed by Saslaw [51], the system of galaxies in the expanding Universe is in an asymptotically relaxed state, i.e, a quasi thermal equilibrium, since the cosmic time scale $1/H_0$ is longer than the local crossing time scale. Therefore, the hydrostatic approximation is appropriate for a preliminary study of this paper.

In general, a fluid is described by the continuity equation, the Euler equation, and the Poisson equation :

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + \nabla \Phi, \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = -4\pi G \rho. \tag{3}$$

For the hydrostatical case, $\dot{\rho} = 0$ and $\mathbf{v} = 0$, the Euler equation takes the form [38]

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p = \nabla \Phi, \tag{4}$$

which describes the mechanical equilibrium of the fluid. Denoting $c_s^2 \equiv \partial p/\partial \rho$ with c_s being a constant sound speed, Eq.(4) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla \rho = \frac{1}{c_s^2}\nabla \Phi. \tag{5}$$

Taking gradient on both sides of this equation leads to

$$\nabla^2 \rho = \frac{1}{c_s^2}(\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla \Phi + \rho \nabla^2 \Phi). \tag{6}$$

Substituting Eq.(3) and (5) into the above gives

$$\nabla^2 \rho - \frac{1}{\rho}(\nabla \rho)^2 + \frac{4\pi G}{c_s^2}\rho^2 = 0. \tag{7}$$

We call Eq.(7) the field equation of mass density for the self-gravitating many-body system. For convenience, we introduce a dimensionless density field $\psi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \rho(\mathbf{r})/\rho_0$, where $\rho_0 = mn_0$ is the mean mass density of the system. Then Eq.(7) takes the form

$$\nabla^2 \psi - \frac{1}{\psi}(\nabla \psi)^2 + k_J^2 \psi^2 = 0, \tag{8}$$

with $k_J \equiv \sqrt{4\pi G\rho_0}/c_s$ being the Jeans wavenumber. This is highly nonlinear in ψ as it contains $1/\psi$. Eq.(8) also follows from $\delta\mathcal{H}(\psi)/\delta\psi = 0$ with the effective Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\nabla\psi}{\psi})^2 - k_J^2\psi. \tag{9}$$

To employ Schwinger's technique of functional derivatives [56], we introduce an external source $J(\mathbf{r})$ coupled to the field ψ:

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi, J) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\nabla\psi}{\psi})^2 - k_J^2\psi - J\psi, \tag{10}$$

and the mass density field equation in the presence of J is

$$\nabla^2\psi - \frac{1}{\psi}(\nabla\psi)^2 + k_J^2\psi^2 + J\psi^2 = 0. \tag{11}$$

This is the key equation we shall use in Section 3 to derive the field equation of correlation $G^{(2)}(r)$. The generating functional for the correlation functions of ψ is defined as

$$Z[J] = \int D\psi e^{-\alpha\int d^3\mathbf{r}\mathcal{H}(\psi,J)}, \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha \equiv c_s^2/4\pi Gm$ with c_s being the sound speed and m being the mass of a single particle. Here α is introduced for proper dimension. The surveys of galaxies or clusters reveal the mass distribution, instead of the gravitational field. (We do not address a possible bias of mass distribution in this paper.) The advantage of working with the mass density field ψ is to confront the observational data directly [67, 66].

Eq.(8) can also be derived from another approach. The Universe filled with galaxies and clusters can be modeled as a self gravitating gas assumed to be in thermal quasi-equilibrium [51]. Note that the Universe is expanding with a time scale $\sim 1/H_0 = (3/8\pi G\rho_0)^{1/2}$, and the time scale of propagation of fluctuations $\sim \lambda_J/c_s \sim 1/(4\pi G\rho_0)^{1/2}$, both being of the same order of magnitude. The thermal equilibrium is an approximation. For such a system of N particles of mass m, the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{p_i^2}{2m} - \sum_{i<j}^{N}\frac{Gm^2}{r_{ij}} \tag{13}$$

with $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$, and the grand partition function is

$$Z = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^N}{N!}\int\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{d^3p_i\,d^3r_i}{(2\pi)^3}e^{-H/T}, \tag{14}$$

where z is the fugacity. Using the Stratonovich-Hubbard transformation [59, 34], Z can be converted into a path integral over a field ϕ [22, 68] as follows (the detailed derivation is given in Appendix A):

$$Z = \int D\phi e^{-\alpha\int d^3r\mathcal{H}(\phi)}, \tag{15}$$

where the effective Hamiltonian density for ϕ is

$$\mathcal{H}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 - k_J^2 e^{\phi}. \tag{16}$$

By $\delta\mathcal{H}(\phi)/\delta\phi = 0$, Eq.(16) yields the well-known Lane-Emden equation [28, 27, 13, 2, 41]

$$\nabla^2\phi + k_J^2 e^{\phi} = 0, \tag{17}$$

which, by rescaling $\phi \equiv \Phi/c_s^2$, becomes the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2\Phi = -4\pi G\rho(\mathbf{r}), \tag{18}$$

where the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0 e^{\Phi(\mathbf{r})/c_s^2}$. Writing

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv e^{\phi(\mathbf{r})}, \tag{19}$$

Eq.(16) and Eq.(17) become Eq.(9) and Eq.(8), respectively, as long as $\psi \neq 0$, i.e, $\phi \neq -\infty$.

Thus, for the self-gravitating system, the assumption of either thermal equilibrium, or hydrostatical equilibrium, lead to the field equation (8) of mass density, which is equivalent to the Lane-Emden equation (17). Nevertheless, Eq.(8) has the advantage that the density field ψ suits better for studying the mass distribution.

3 Field Equation of the 2-pt Correlation Function of Density Fluctuations

In the following we outline the field equation of 2-pt correlation function, and the comprehensive details are attached in Appendix B. Since the distribution of galaxies, or clusters, can be viewed as the fluctuations of the mass density in the homogeneous Universe, we consider the fluctuation field $\delta\psi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \psi(\mathbf{r}) - \langle\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle$, where the statistical ensemble average is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle &= \frac{1}{Z}\int D\psi\, \psi e^{-\alpha\int d^3\mathbf{r}\mathcal{H}(\psi)} \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r})}\log Z[J]\mid_{J=0}.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Here the subscript $|_{J=0}$ means setting $J = 0$ after taking functional derivative. $\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle$ represents the mean of scaled mass density of the background, and, in our case, is a constant $\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle = \psi_0$. The 2-point correlation function of $\delta\psi$, i.e, the *connected* 2-point Green function, is given by the functional derivative of $\ln Z[J]$ with respect to J [10] :

$$\begin{aligned}G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) &\equiv \langle\delta\psi(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta\psi(\mathbf{r}_2)\rangle \\ &= \alpha^{-2}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta J(\mathbf{r}_2)}\log Z[J]|_{J=0} \\ &= \alpha^{-1}\frac{\delta\langle\psi(\mathbf{r}_2)\rangle_J}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}_1)}|_{J=0},\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle_J \equiv \frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r})}\log Z[J]$ before setting $J = 0$. One can take $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = G^{(2)}(r_{12})$ for a homogeneous and isotropic Universe. Analogously, the n-point correlation function of $\delta\psi$ is

$$\begin{aligned}G^{(n)}(\mathbf{r}_1,...,\mathbf{r}_n) &\equiv \langle\delta\psi(\mathbf{r}_1)...\delta\psi(\mathbf{r}_n)\rangle \\ &= \alpha^{-n}\frac{\delta^n\log Z[J]}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}_1)...\delta J(\mathbf{r}_n)}|_{J=0} \\ &= \alpha^{-(n-1)}\frac{\delta^{n-1}\langle\psi(\mathbf{r}_n)\rangle_J}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}_1)...\delta J(\mathbf{r}_{n-1})}|_{J=0}\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

for $n \geq 3$. To derive the field equation of $G^{(2)}(r)$, as a routine [29], one takes functional derivative of the ensemble average of Eq.(11) with respect to $J(\mathbf{r}_1)$,

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}_1)}(\langle\nabla^2\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle_J - \langle\frac{1}{\psi(\mathbf{r})}(\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r}))^2\rangle_J + k_J^2\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})^2\rangle_J + J(\mathbf{r})\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})^2\rangle_J) = 0, \tag{23}$$

and then sets $J = 0$. The detailed calculation is provided in Appendix B. To deal with the nonlinearity of Eq.(11) systematically, we expand it in terms of the fluctuation $\delta\psi$, and keep up to the second order $(\delta\psi)^2$. Then Eq.(23) leads the following equation of $G^{(2)}$:

$$\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) + k_0^2\psi_0 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) + [\frac{1}{2\psi_0^2}\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) - (\frac{1}{2\psi_0}\nabla^2 + k_J^2)G^{(3)}(0,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) + \frac{2}{\psi_0^2}\nabla G^{(2)}(0)\cdot\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})] = -\frac{1}{\alpha}[\psi_0^2 - G^{(2)}(0)]\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{24}$$

where the characteristic wavenumber $k_0 \equiv \sqrt{2}k_J$. This equation is of the same form as Eq.(4) in our previous paper [66], except that the coefficient of $G^{(3)}$ now acquires the $-k_J^2$ term, and the coefficient of the source $\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})$ acquires $\frac{1}{\alpha}G^{(2)}(0)$. These modifications come from an improved treatment to include high order contributions properly. Note that $G^{(3)}$ occurs in Eq.(24). There are various ways to cut off this hierarchy. In this paper, we adopt the Kirkwood-Groth-Peebles ansatz [36, 32]

$$G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3) = Q[G^{(2)}(r_{12})G^{(2)}(r_{23}) + G^{(2)}(r_{23})G^{(2)}(r_{31}) + G^{(2)}(r_{31})G^{(2)}(r_{12})], \tag{25}$$

where Q is a dimensionless parameter. This ansatz has been well-known and often used in studies of cosmology. There have been abundant data from observations and simulations as well, showing that the ansatz serves as a good fitting to the data when $Q \sim 1$. Here we take this ansatz because it gives a cutoff and has the connection to practice of cosmology. Substituting Eq.(25) into Eq.(24), after a necessary renormalization to absorb the quantities like $G^{(2)}(0)$, $\nabla G^{(2)}(0)$, and $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)$, we obtain the field equation of the 2-point correlation function

$$(1 - b\xi)\nabla^2\xi + k_0^2(1 - c\xi)\xi + (\mathbf{a} - b\nabla\xi)\cdot\nabla\xi = -\frac{1}{\alpha}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{26}$$

where $\xi = \xi(r) \equiv G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$, and $\mathbf{a}$, b, and c are three independent parameters. The special case of $\mathbf{a} = b = c = 0$ is the Gaussian approximation, and Eq.(26) reduces to the Helmholtz equation (B.9). Thus, the terms containing $\mathbf{a}$, b, and c represent the nonlinear contributions beyond the Gaussian approximation. Eq.(26) in the radial direction is

$$(1 - b\xi)\xi'' + ((1 - b\xi)\frac{2}{x} + a)\xi' + \xi - b\xi'^2 - c\xi^2 = -\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\delta(x)k_0}{x^2}, \tag{27}$$

where $\xi' \equiv \frac{d}{dx}\xi$ and $x \equiv k_0 r$. The nonlinear terms with b and c in Eq.(27) can enhance the amplitude of ξ at small scales and increase the correlation length. The term containing a plays the role of effective viscosity, and a greater a leads a strong damping to the oscillations of ξ at large scales, as shown in Fig.1. The solution $\xi(r)$ will confront the observational data of galaxies and clusters in the following.



Figure 1: A large viscosity coefficient a will cause strong damping to the oscillations of $\xi(r)$ at large distances. In this graph, b, c, and k_0 are fixed for demonstration.

4 General Predictions of Field Equation

Before studying its solution, we inspect the field equation (26) to see its predictions about the general properties of the correlation function $\xi(r)$.

1, The equation contains the point mass m and the characteristic wavenumber k_0. It applies to the system of galaxies, as well as to the system of clusters, with different respective m and k_0 in each case. Thus, as solutions of Eq.(26), ξ_{gg} for galaxies should have a profile similar to ξ_{cc} for clusters, but will differ in amplitude and in scale determined by different m and k_0. Indeed, the observations tell that both ξ_{gg} and ξ_{cc} have a power-law form: $\propto r^{-1.8}$ in their respective, finite range, but ξ_{cc} has a higher amplitude[9, 37].

2, The $\delta^3(\mathbf{r})$ source in Eq.(26) has the coefficient $1/\alpha = 4\pi Gm/c_s^2$, which determines the overall amplitude of a solution ξ. The mass m of a cluster can be $10 \sim 10^3$ times that of a galaxy[4]. As for the sound speed, c_s can be regarded as the the peculiar velocity, which is the same order of magnitude for galaxies and clusters, around several hundreds km/s [33, 42]. Therefore, $1/\alpha$ is essentially determined by m, and a greater m will yield a higher amplitude of ξ. This property is clearer in the Gaussian approximation, where

$$\xi(r) \propto m \tag{28}$$

as revealed by the analytical solution $\xi(r)$ seen in Eq.(B.10). This general prediction naturally explains a whole chain of prominent facts of observations: luminous galaxies are more massive and have a higher correlation amplitude than ordinary galaxies [65], clusters are much more massive and have a much higher correlation than galaxies, and rich clusters have a higher correlation than poor clusters since the richness $\propto$ the mass [9, 24, 23, 3]. This phenomenon has been a puzzle for long [4] and was interpreted as being caused by the statistics of rare peak events [35].

3, The power spectrum, as the Fourier transform of $\xi(r)$, is proportional to the inverse of the spatial number density:

$$P(k) \propto 1/n_0. \tag{29}$$

See in the analytical $P(k)$ in Eq.(B.13) in the Gaussian approximation. In fact, given the mean mass density $\rho_0 = mn_0$, a greater m implies a lower n_0. Therefore, the properties (29) and (28) reflect the same physical law of clustering from different perspectives. The property (29) also agrees with the observational fact from a variety of surveys. The observed $P(k)$ of clusters is much higher than that of galaxies, and the observed $P(k)$ of rich clusters is higher than poor clusters, etc. This is explained by Eq.(29), since n_0 of clusters is much lower than that of galaxies, and n_0 of rich clusters is lower than that of poor clusters [5, 4].

4, The characteristic length $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0 = (\frac{\pi}{2})^{1/2}\frac{c_s}{\sqrt{G\rho_0}}$
$\propto \frac{c_s}{\sqrt{\rho_0}}$ appears in Eq.(26) as the only scale, which underlies the scale-related features of the solution $\xi(r)$. At a fixed λ_0, the solution $\xi(r)$ with a high amplitude drops to its first zero at a larger distance, leading to an apparently longer "correlation length". If surveys could cover the whole Universe and if all the cosmic mass were in galaxies, which, in turn, were all contained in clusters, then ρ_0 would be the same for the system of galaxies and for the system of clusters. Nevertheless, actual cluster surveys extend over larger spatial volumes, including those very dilute regions. Therefore, ρ_{0c} of the region covered by cluster surveys can be lower than ρ_{0g} for galaxy surveys, and λ_0 for cluster surveys will be longer than that for galaxy surveys, whereas c_s is roughly the same order of magnitude for galaxies and clusters. For instance, for rich clusters, the spatial number density $n_c \sim 10^{-5}$ clusters Mpc^{-3} compared with $n_g \sim 10^{-2}$ galaxies Mpc^{-3} for bright galaxies, lower by three orders [5]. But a rich cluster contains only $30 \sim 300$ galaxies, the observed mass-to-light ratio of clusters flattens at $200 \sim 300$ of the solar ratio M/L [6], implying that clusters do not contain a substantial amount of additional dark matter, other than that associated with the galaxy halos and the hot intercluster medium [5]. These imply

that ρ_{0c} is lower than ρ_{0g}. Indeed, as will be seen in the next Section 5 and 6, to use one solution $\xi(r)$ to match the data of both galaxies and clusters, one has to take k_0 to be smaller for clusters, than for galaxies, so the system of clusters covered by the surveys has a longer λ_0 than the system of galaxies [17, 9].

5 The Solution Confronting the Observed Data of Galaxy Surveys

Now we give the solution $\xi_{gg}(r)$ for a fixed set of parameters (a, b, c), and confront with the observed correlation from major galaxy surveys. We will also convert $\xi_{gg}(r)$ into its associated power spectrum $P(k)$, the projected correlation function $w_p(r_p)$, and the angular correlation function $w(\theta)$, and compare with the respective observational data, simultaneously.

1, The Correlation Function $\xi_{gg}(r)$.

We have taken $k_0 = 0.055\text{hMpc}^{-1}$ for the case of galaxies. For demonstration, two respective sets of the parameters are taken: $(a, b, c) = (1.2, 0.003, 0.1)$, and $(a, b, c) = (0.7, 0.004, 0.38)$. We remark that other values of (a, b, c) can be also chosen to match the data. Figure 2 shows the solution $\xi_{gg}(r)$ and the observed data by the galaxy surveys of APM [43], SDSS [65], and 2dFGRS [33]. It is seen that the theoretical $\xi_{gg}(r)$ matches the observational data on the range of $r = (1 \sim 50)$ h^{-1}Mpc. The usual power law fitting $\xi_{gg} \propto r^{-1.7}$ is valid only in an interval $(0.1 \sim 10)$ h^{-1}Mpc. On large scales, the solution $\xi_{gg}(r)$ deviates from the power law, decreases rapidly to zero and becomes negative around ~ 50 h^{-1}Mpc. However, on small scales $r \leq 1$ h^{-1}Mpc, the solution $\xi_{gg}(r)$ is lower than the data, even though it has already improved the Gaussian approximation[67]. This insufficiency at $r \leq 1$ h^{-1}Mpc should be due to neglect of the high order nonlinear terms, like $(\delta\psi)^3$, in our perturbation. These terms should contribute more correlations on small scales. Notice that the scale ~ 1 h^{-1}Mpc is the size of a typical cluster, and the high amplitude of the observed ξ_{gg} at $r \leq 1$ h^{-1}Mpc may come partially from the local structure of virialized clusters.

2, The Power Spectrum $P(k)$.

The power spectrum $P(k)$ is the Fourier transform

$$P(k) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \xi(r) \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr} r^2 dr \tag{30}$$

of the correlation function $\xi(r)$, measuring the matter density fluctuation in the k-space. In principle, $P(k)$ and $\xi(r)$ contain the same information if both are complete on their respective space, $k = (0, \infty)$, and $r = (0, \infty)$. Actually, the observed $\xi_{gg}(r)$ is not complete, and is actually limited to a finite range, say $r \leq 50$ Mpc. If the observed power-law $\xi_{gg}(r) = (r_0/r)^{1.8}$ were plugged in Eq.(30), one would have $P(k) \propto k^{-1.2}$, which does not comply with the observed $P(k) \propto k^{-1.6}$ [45]. Our solution $\xi_{gg}(r)$ is given on the whole range $r = (0, \infty)$, so it will yield a reliable $P(k)$. Figure 3 shows the theoretical $P(k)$ converted by Eq.(30) from the solution $\xi_{gg}(r)$ with the same set (a, b, c) and k_0 as those in Fig.2. Also shown are the observational data of $P(k)$ from APM [43], 2dFGRS [16], and SDSS [12]. It is seen that the theoretical $P(k)$ agrees well with the data $P(k) \propto k^{-1.6}$ in the range of $k = (0.04 \sim 0.7)$ hMpc^{-1}. However, at large k, the theoretical $P(k)$ is lower than the data. This insufficiency of $P(k)$ corresponds that of $\xi_{gg}(r)$ at small scales $r \leq 1$Mpc shown in Figure 2 . If high order terms like $(\delta\psi)^3$ are included, the theoretical $P(k)$ is expected to improve at large k.

3, The Projected Correlation Function $W_p(r_p)$.

For actual sky surveys of galaxies and clusters, the measurement of distances is through their cosmic red-shift z. The galaxies or clusters have peculiar velocities, causing the red-shift distortion to the measured distance. To eliminate this distorting effect, one can make use of the



Figure 2: The solution $\xi_{gg}(r)$ confronts the data of galaxies by APM [43], 2dFGRS [33], and SDSS [65]. Here $k_0 = 0.055$ hMpc^{-1} is taken in calculation.



Figure 3: The power spectra $P(k)$ converted from $\xi_{gg}(r)$ in Figure 2 confronts the data of APM [43], 2dFGRS [16] and SDSS [12].



Figure 4: The projected correlation function $W_p(r_p)$ converted from $\xi_{gg}(r)$ confronts the data of 2dFGRS [33] and SDSS [65].

unaffected part of the correlation function by integrating over the distance parallel to the line of sight. This leads to the projected correlation function [47, 46]

$$W_p(r_p) = 2\int_0^\infty \xi(\sqrt{r_p^2+y^2})dy = 2\int_{r_p}^\infty \xi(r)\frac{rdr}{\sqrt{r^2-r_p^2}}, \tag{31}$$

where r_p is the separation of two points vertical to the line of sight, not distorted by the peculiar velocities. Figure 4 shows the theoretical $W_p(r_p)$ from the solution ξ_{gg} with the same (a,b,c), and k_0 as those in Fig.2. The observational data from 2dFGRS [33] and SDSS [65] are also plotted for comparison. Overall, the theoretical $W_p(r_p)$ traces the observational data well in the range $r_p = (0.6 \sim 30)\mathrm{h}^{-1}$Mpc, but, is lower than the data on small scales $r_p \leq 0.6\mathrm{h}^{-1}$Mpc, the same insufficiency mentioned before.

4, The Angular Correlation Function $w(\theta)$.

To avoid the uncertainty of the distance measurements, similar to the projected $W_p(r_p)$, the 2-point angular correlation function $w(\theta)$ is also used to represent the correlation between two angle positions. It also involves an integration of $\xi(r)$ along the line of sight. Specifically, fixing the azimuth angle and leaving only the altitude θ, under the small separation approximation, the angular correlation function $w(\theta)$ can be derived from $\xi(r)$ by Limber's equation [39, 50, 46]

$$w(\theta) = \int_0^\infty y^4\phi(y)^2dy \int_{-\infty}^\infty \xi(\sqrt{x^2+\theta^2y^2})dx, \tag{32}$$



Figure 5: The angular correlation function $w(\theta)$ converted from $\xi_{gg}(r)$ confronts the data of SDSS, AAΩ, 2SLAQ [55].

where ϕ is the selection function, representing the combined effect of luminosity function and observer function. With the normalization $\int_0^\infty \phi y^2 dy = 1$, it is given by [45]

$$\phi(y) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} D_*^{-\frac{5}{2}} y^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(\frac{y}{D_*})^2}, \tag{33}$$

where D_* is the characteristic sample depth. In practice, $w(\theta)$ is given by the following integration over the wavenumber k [45]

$$w(\theta) = \frac{\pi}{2\Gamma^2(\frac{5}{4}) D_*} \int_0^\infty \Delta^2(k) \frac{dk}{k^2} (1 - \frac{(kD_*\theta)^2}{8}) \exp(-\frac{(kD_*\theta)^2}{8}), \tag{34}$$

where $\Delta^2(k) \equiv k^3 P(k)/2\pi^2$ and $P(k)$ is the power spectrum. Fig. 5 shows the calculated $w(\theta)$ by Eq.(34) with $P(k)$ from Fig. 3. It is seen that the theoretical curves trace the observed data well for $\theta = (0.1 \sim 8)$ degree. Also, the theoretical curve is lower than the data points for $\theta \leq 0.1$ degree. For a correlation length λ, the ratio D_*/λ measures that how much farther the survey goes beyond the correlated scale. We take $\lambda = \pi/k_0$ for concreteness. The survey depth of AAΩ is larger than that of SDSS [55]. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 5, to fit the data, a larger D_*/λ for AAΩ is required than that for SDSS. So far, with the fixed (a, b, c) and k_0, the solution $\xi_{gg}(r)$ and the associated $P(k)$, $W_p(r_p)$, and $w(\theta)$ simultaneously agree with the data, respectively, except the insufficiency at the small scales.



Figure 6: The solution $\xi_{cc}(r)$ for clusters confronts the data of SDSS clusters with tow types of richness [3]. Notice that here (a, b, c) are the same as for galaxies, however, $k_0 = 0.03$ hMpc^{-1} is taken for clusters, smaller than that for galaxies.

6 Confronting the Observed Data of Clusters

For galaxies discussed above, the observed correlation function is limited to $r \leq 50\text{h}^{-1}$Mpc. Clusters are believed to trace the cosmic mass distribution on even larger scales, and the observational data cover spatial scales farther than that of galaxies. Now we are going to apply the solution with the same two sets of (a, b, c) as in Section 5 to the system of clusters, each being regarded as a point mass. The mass m of a cluster is greater than that of a galaxy. This leads to a higher overall amplitude of $\xi_{cc}(r)$, i.e, a higher value of the boundary condition $\xi_{cc}(r_b)$ at some point $r = r_b$. Besides, to match the observational data of clusters, a small value $k_0 = 0.03$ Mpc^{-1} is required, smaller than the previous $k_0 = 0.055$Mpc^{-1} for galaxies. In Figure 6, for each set (a, b, c) , two solutions $\xi_{cc}(r)$ with different amplitudes are given to compare with two sets of data with richness $N > 10$ and $N > 20$ from the SDSS [3]. To match the data of clusters of $N > 20$, we have chosen a greater boundary condition $\xi(r_b)$ than that of $N > 10$, while k_0 is the same. This results in a higher correlation amplitude and an apparently longer "correlation length" for the $N > 20$ clusters. Interpreted by the field equation, Eq.(26), the $N > 20$ clusters have a greater m than the $N > 10$ clusters. The solutions match the data available on the whole range $r = (4 \sim 100)\text{h}^{-1}$Mpc, and there is no small-scale insufficiency of correlation that occurred for the galaxy case. This indicates that, to account for the correlation of clusters, the order of $(\delta\psi)^2$ is accurate enough in the perturbation treatment of our formulation. Since $k_0 = 0.03$ Mpc^{-1} for clusters and $k_0 = 0.055$ Mpc^{-1} for galaxies, it can be inferred that the mean density

ρ_0 involved in this cluster survey should be lower by $(0.03/0.055)^2 \sim 0.3$ than those in the galaxy case.

It has long been known that, there is a scaling behavior, that is, the cluster correlation scale increases with the mean spatial separation between clusters [60, 7, 4, 18, 30]. For a power-law $\xi_{cc} = (r_0/r)^{1.8}$ fitting, the data indicates a "correlation length"

$$r_0 \simeq 0.4 d_i, \tag{35}$$

where $d_i = n_i^{-1/3}$ and n_i is the mean number density of clusters of type i. For SDSS, the scaling can be also fitted by $r_0 \simeq 2.6 {d_i}^{1/2}$ [3], and for the 2df galaxy groups $r_0 \simeq 4.7 {d_i}^{0.32}$[64]. From these surveys, the common pattern is that r_0 increases with d_i. This kind of $r_0 - d_i$ scaling has been a theoretical challenge [4], and was thought to be caused by a fractal distribution of galaxies and clusters [60]. In our theory the scaling is fully embodied in the solution $\xi_{cc}(k_0 r)$ with the characteristic wavenumber $k_0 = (8\pi G m n/c_s^2)^{1/2} \propto d^{-3/2}$. To comply with the empirical power-law, we take the theoretical "correlation length" as $r_0(d) \propto \xi_{cc}^{1/1.8}$, where ξ_{cc} is the theoretical solution and depends on d. Fig.7 shows that the solution ξ_{cc} with $k_0 = 0.03$ hMpc^{-1} gives the scaling $r_0(d) \simeq 0.4d$, agreeing well with the observation [4]. If a greater $k_0 = 0.055$ hMpc^{-1} is taken, the solution ξ_{cc} would yield a flatter scaling $r_0(d) \simeq 0.3d$, which seems to fit the data of APM clusters better[3]. This comparison tells that a higher background density ρ_0 corresponds to a flatter slope of the scaling $r_0(d)$. Thus the $r_0 - d_i$ scaling is naturally interpreted by the solution $\xi_{cc}(k_0 r)$. Extended to very large scales, the observed $\xi_{cc}(r)$ exhibits a pattern of periodic oscillations with a characteristic wavelength $\sim$ 120Mpc[26, 25]. This behavior was originally found in the galaxy distribution in narrow pencil beam surveys [14], also occurred in the correlation function of galaxies [62], and of quasars [63]. There have been also various interpretations on this periodic oscillations, and one is that these correspond to the superclusters of the comparable size [8]. In Figure 8, the theoretical $\xi(r)$ with small values (a, b, c) exhibits periodic oscillations, which is close to the Gaussian solution [67]. To achieve the characteristic wavelength $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0 \sim 120$Mpc, one needs $k_0 \simeq 0.053$ Mpc^{-1}. To yield high oscillations, a small $a = 0.1$ is taken for demonstration. The data of the Abell X-ray clusters is also plotted [24], exhibiting the prominent, periodic oscillations. Qualitatively, the solution $\xi(r)$ agrees with the pattern of oscillation of the data, but has a damped amplitude at increasing r. The power spectrum $P(k)$ converted from the solution $\xi(r)$ with $a = 0.1$ does have a prominent peak, as in Fig. 9 [25, 23]. Thus in our theory this kind of oscillations originates from the field equation itself with a sufficiently small viscosity.

7 Conclusions and Discussions

We have presented a field theory of density fluctuations of a Newtonian gravitating system, applied it to the study of the correlation functions of galaxies and of clusters in a homogeneous, isotropic Universe.

As the key setup, we have obtained the field equation (8) of the mass density field ψ, under the condition of thermal equilibrium or hydrostatic equilibrium. It suits the studying of the mass distribution of Universe. This approach is different from those using the gravitational potential. In dealing with the high nonlinearity, we have written the field as $\psi = \psi_0 + \delta\psi$, the order $(\delta\psi)^2$ has been kept in perturbations. The generating functional $Z[J]$ of the correlation functions has been written down as an path integral over ψ. The field equation (26) of $\xi = \langle \delta\psi\delta\psi \rangle$ has been derived as the main result, whereby the Kirkwood-Groth-Peebles ansatz and renormalization have been used. The equation is Helmholtz-like and nonlinear, with three parameters (a, b, c) representing the nonlinear effects beyond the Gaussian approximation. Notably, the characteristic wavelength λ_0 occurs as the only scale, and the mass m appears in the source. By the dependence on m and λ_0, the equation simultaneously explains several longstanding, seemingly unrelated features



Figure 7: The solution $\xi_{cc}(r)$ with $k_0 = 0.03$ hMpc^{-1} gives the scaling $r_0 \simeq 0.4d$. But with a greater $k_0 = 0.055$ hMpc^{-1}, ξ_{cc} would give a flatter scaling $r_0 \simeq 0.3d$, which seems to fit the data of APM clusters better [3].



Figure 8: The solution $\xi(r)$ with a small a has periodic oscillations on very large scales. $a = 0.1$ is taken for demonstration. The characteristic length is $\lambda_0 \sim 120$ h^{-1}Mpc. It qualitatively explains the data of Abell X-ray clusters [24].



Figure 9: The converted $P(k)$ has a prominent peak at $k = k_0$, corresponding to the periodic oscillations of $\xi(r)$ in Fig 8. The profile of $P(k)$ qualitatively agrees with the observational data of clusters [23, 25].

of the clustering, such as the profile similarity of ξ_{cc} of clusters to ξ_{gg} of galaxies, the differences in amplitude and in correlation length of ξ_{cc} and ξ_{gg}, the scaling behavior $r_0 \simeq 0.4d$, and the pattern of periodic oscillations in ξ_{cc} with a wavelength $\lambda_0 \sim 120$Mpc.

The solution ξ_{gg} for fixed (a, b, c) agrees with the observational data of the galaxy surveys over a range $r = (1 \sim 50)$Mpc. So do the associated power spectrum, projected correlation, and angular correlation. With the same set of (a, b, c), but with a greater m and a longer λ_0, the solution ξ_{cc} also matches the data of clusters over a range $r = (4 \sim 100)\mathrm{h}^{-1}$Mpc. Thus, our theory sheds light on the understanding of the clustering and the large scale structure of Universe.

There are several issues and possible extensions of the current theory.

1, As is seen, the amplitude of theoretical ξ_{gg} at $r \leq 1$ Mpc is lower than the observational data of galaxies. This may indicate that the actual clustering of galaxies requires higher order terms of the fluctuation beyond $(\delta\psi)^2$. To include $(\delta\psi)^3$ and the higher, the treatment will become more involved and the occurrence of $G^{(4)}$, in addition to $G^{(3)}$, will be anticipated in the field equation of ξ_{gg}. This extension will be our future work.

2, The formulation established in this paper can be systematically used to derive the field equations of $G^{(3)}$, etc, which will be inevitably more complicated.

3, In this paper we have not considered the influence of the cosmic dark energy, nor a possible bias of clustering by baryon. These would need more refined studies.

4, Finally, in this paper the effect of the expansion of the Universe has not been considered. Thus, it would be desired that an extension could be made to the case of the cosmic evolution.

Acknowledgements

Y. Zhang's research work has been supported by the CNSF No.11073018, 11275187, SRFDP, and CAS.

References

[1] Abazajian K. N., Adelman-McCarthy J. K., Agüeros M. A., et al. 2009, ApJS, 182, 543

[2] Antonov V. A., 1962, Vest. Leningrad Univ., 7, 135

[3] Bahcall N. A., Dong F., Hao L., et al. 2003, ApJ, 599, 814

[4] Bahcall N.A., 1999, "Clusters and Supercluster", in Formation of Structure in the Universe, ed. by A. Dekel and J.P. Ostriker, Cambridge University Press. arXiv: astro-ph/9611148.

[5] Bahcall N. A., 1996, arXiv:astro-ph/9611148

[6] Bahcall N.A., Lubin L.M., Dorman V., 1995, ApJ, 447, L81

[7] Bahcall N.A., West M., 1992, ApJL, 392, 419

[8] Bahcall N. A., 1991, ApJ, 376, 43

[9] Bahcall N. A., Soneira R. M. 1983, ApJ, 270, 20

[10] Binney J., Dowrick N., Fisher A., Newman M., 1992, The Theory of Critical Phenomena, Oxford University Press

[11] Bok B.J., 1934, Bull Harvars Obs, 895, 1

[12] Blanton M., Tegmark M., Strauss M., 2004, ApJ, 606, 702

[13] Bonnor W. B., 1956, MNRAS, 116, 351

[14] Broadhurst T.J. , Ellis R. , Koo D., Szalay A., 1990, Nat, 343, 34

[15] Chandrasekhar S., 1939, An introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure. Chicago Univ. Press

[16] Cole S., Percival W. J., Peacock J. A., et al., 2005, MNRAS, 362, 505

[17] Collins C. A., Guzzo L., Böhringer H., et al., 2000, MNRAS, 319, 939

[18] Croft R. A. C., Dalton G. B., Efstathiou G., Sutherland W. J., Maddox S. J., 1997, MNRAS, 291, 305

[19] Davis M., Peebles P.J.E., 1983, ApJ, 267, 465

[20] de Vega, H.J., Sanchez, N., Combes, F., 1998, ApJ, 500, 8

[21] de Vega, H.J., Sanchez, N., Combes, F., 1996, Phys.Rev. D, 54, 6008

[22] de Vega H.J., Sanchez N., Combes F., 1996, Nature, 383, 56

[23] Einasto M., Einasto J., Tago E., et al., 2007, ApJ, 123, 51. arXiv:astro-phy/0012538

[24] Einasto M., Einasto J., Tago E., Andernach H., Dalton G. B., Müller V., 2002, AJ, 123, 51

[25] Einasto J., Einasto M., Gottloeber S., et al., 1997, Nature, 385, 139

[26] Einasto J., Einasto M., Frisch P., et al., 1997, MNRAS, 289, 801

[27] Ebert R., 1955, Z. Astrophys., 37, 217

[28] Emden R., 1907, Gaskugeln, Leipzig and Berlin

[29] Goldenfeld N., 1992, Lectures on Phase Transitions and Renormalization Group, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company

[30] Gonzalez A. H., Zaritsky D., Wechler R. H., 2002, ApJ, 571, 129

[31] Groth E., Peebles P.J.E., 1986, ApJ , 310, 507

[32] Groth E., Peebles P.J.E., 1977, ApJ, 217, 385

[33] Hawkins E., Maddox S., Cole S., et al., 2003, MNRAS, 346, 78

[34] Hubbard, J., 1959, Phys. Rev. Lett., 3, 77

[35] Kaiser N., 1984, ApJ, 284, L9

[36] Kirkwood J.G. 1932, J. Chem. Phys., 3, 300

[37] Klypin A.A., Kopylov A.I., 1983, Sov. Astron. Lett. ,9, 41.

[38] Landau L.D., Lifshitz E.M., 1987, Fluid Mechanics, Pergamon Press

[39] Limber D. N., 1953, ApJ, 117, 134

[40] Loveday J., Peterson B.A., Maddox S.J., Efstathiou G., 1996, ApJS, 107, 201

[41] Lynden-Bell D., Wood R., 1968, MNRAS, 138, 495

[42] Masters K. L., Springob C. M., Haynes M. P., Giovanelli R., 2006, ApJ, 653, 861

[43] Padilla N.D., Baugh C.M., 2003, MNRAS, 343, 796

[44] Peacock J., Cole S., Norberg P., et al., 2001, Nature, 410, 169

[45] Peacock J. A., 1999, Cosmological Physics. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK

[46] Peebles P. J. E., 1980,The Large-scale Structure of the Universe. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ

[47] Peebles P.J.E., 1976, Ap. Space Sci. , 45, 3

[48] Peebles P.J.E., 1974, ApJ, 189, L51

[49] Peebles P.J.E., 1974, A&A, 32, 197

[50] Rubin V.C., 1954, Proc. N.A.S, 40, 541

[51] Saslaw W.C., 2000, The Distribution of the Galaxies. Cambridge University Press.

[52] Saslaw W.C., 1985, Gravitational Physics of Steller and Galactic Systems. Cambridge University Press.

[53] Saslaw W.C., 1969, MNRAS ,143 ,437

[54] Saslaw W.C., 1968, MNRAS ,141, 1

[55] Sawangwit U., Shanks T., Abdalla F. B., et al., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 3033

[56] Schwinger J., 1951, Proc. Natl. Acamd. Sci. USA ,37, 452, 455.

[57] Shaver P., 1988, in Large-Scale Structure of the Universe, IAU Symp. 130, ed. J. Audouze et al. ,Dordrecht: Reidel, 359

[58] Soneira R.M., Peebles P.J.E. 1987, AJ , 83, 845

[59] Stratonovich R.L., 1958, Doklady, 2, 146

[60] Szalay A., Schramm D., 1985, Nature, 314, 718.

[61] Totsuji H., Kihara T., 1969, PASJ, 21, 221

[62] Tucker D. L., Oemler A., Jr., Kirshner R. P., et al., 1997, MNRAS, 285, L5

[63] Yahata K., Suto Y., Kayo I., et al., PASJ, 57, 529

[64] Zandivarez A., Merchan M. E., Padilla N. D., 2003, MNRAS, 344, 247

[65] Zehavi I., Zheng Z., Weinberg D. H., et al. 2005, ApJ, 630, 1

[66] Zhang Y., Miao H. X., 2009, Research in Astron. and Astrophys., 9, 501

[67] Zhang Y., 2007, A&A, 464, 811

[68] Zinn-Justin J., 1996, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford

A Stratonovich-Hubbard Transformation and Grand Partition Function as a Path Integral

From the identity

$$\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}m^2V\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi V}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2V}x^2 + mx\right], \quad \text{with } V > 0, \tag{A.1}$$

one can extend to the Stratonovich-Hubbard identity [59, 34]:

$$\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}^{N} m_i V_{ij} m_j\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi V)}} \cdot \prod_i^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_i \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}^{N} x_i V_{ij}^{-1} x_j + \sum_i^N x_i m_i\right], \tag{A.2}$$

where (V_{ij}) is a symmetric matrix with positive eigenvalues. This can be further extended to the continuous case. Let $V(r)$ be a long range attractive potential, and its inverse K as a kernel is defined by

$$\int d^3r K(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}) V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_2) = \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2). \tag{A.3}$$

Then the Stratonovich-Hubbard identity in this continuous case is [68]

$$\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}T^{-1}\sum_{i,j} V(r_i - r_j)\right] = \mathcal{N}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D\phi \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}T\int d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \phi(r_1) K(r_1 - r_2)\phi(r_2) + \sum_i^N \phi(r_i)\right], \tag{A.4}$$

where the numerical factor $\mathcal{N} \propto 1/\sqrt{\det V}$ is a multiplicative factor to the grand partition function Z, irrelevant to the ensemble averages of physical quantities, thus can be dropped. We mention that, for a formally stricter treatment, a hard core of radius r_c, say the size of a typical galaxy, should have been introduced at the center of $V(r)$ so that there would be a cutoff of lower limit of integration to avoid the divergence. But this divergence will only occur in $\mathcal{N}$ and is dropped off eventually.

The interesting case is the potential $V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}$. By

$$\nabla^2 \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} = -4\pi\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2), \tag{A.5}$$

the kernel is $K(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r})\nabla^2$. Integrating by parts yields:

$$\int d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \phi(r_1) K(r_1 - r_2)\phi(r_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3r (\nabla\phi)^2, \tag{A.6}$$

so that

$$\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}T^{-1}\sum_{i,j}^{N}\frac{Gm^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D\phi \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\int d^3r(\nabla\phi)^2 + \sum_i^N \phi(\mathbf{r_i})\right], \tag{A.7}$$

where $\alpha \equiv T/4\pi G m^2$. The term $\sum_i \phi(\mathbf{r_i})$ in Eq.(A.7) is a sum of interactions of the field ϕ with the i-point mass at $\mathbf{r_i}$ (and could also be written as an integration $\sum_i \phi(\mathbf{r_i}) = \int d^3r \phi(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r})$ where $n(\mathbf{r})$ is the number density of particles).

We use the above result to write the grand partition function Z in Eq.(14) as a path integral. The kinetic energy term in $e^{-H/T}$ after integrating over the momentum d^3p_i gives

$$\int \frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3} \exp[-p_i^2/2mT] = (\frac{mT}{2\pi})^{3/2}. \tag{A.8}$$

The potential term in $e^{-H/T}$ is given by Eq.(A.7), in which only $\sum_i^N \phi(r_i)$ involves integration over the coordinate d^3r_i and gives

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^N d^3r_i \exp \sum_i^N \phi(r_i) = \left[\int d^3r \exp \phi(r) \right]^N. \tag{A.9}$$

Thus one has

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} [z(\frac{mT}{2\pi})^{3/2}]^N \\ &\cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D\phi \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha \int d^3r (\nabla\phi)^2 \right] \left[\int d^3r \exp\phi(r) \right]^N \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D\phi \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha \int d^3r (\nabla\phi)^2 + z(\frac{mT}{2\pi})^{3/2} \int d^3r e^{\phi(r)} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Using the fugacity $z = (2\pi/mT)^{3/2} n_0$ for a dilute gas of the mean number density n_0, one finally obtains

$$Z = \int D\phi \, e^{-\alpha \int d^3r [\frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 - k_J^2 e^{\phi}]}, \tag{A.11}$$

with $k_J^2 \equiv 4\pi G\rho_0/c_s^2$, $\rho_0 = m n_0$ and $c_s^2 = T/m$. This is Eq.(15) in the context.

B Derivation of Field Equation and Renormalization

We present the derivation of the field equation of the 2-pt correlation function $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. The technique involved is the functional differentiation of the generating functional $Z[J]$ in Eq.(12) with respect to the external source J. The method is commonly adopted in field theory of particle physics and of condensed matter physics[29]. We start with the ensemble average of Eq.(11) of the mass density field in the presence of J,

$$\langle \nabla^2\psi(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{\psi(\mathbf{r})}(\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r}))^2 + k_J^2\psi(\mathbf{r})^2 + J\psi(\mathbf{r})^2 \rangle_J = 0, \tag{B.1}$$

differentiate it with respect to J

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}')} \langle \nabla^2\psi(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{\psi(\mathbf{r})}(\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r}))^2 + k_J^2\psi(\mathbf{r})^2 + J(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r})^2 \rangle_J = 0, \tag{B.2}$$

and set $J = 0$, and will end up with the field equation for $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. In the following we deal with each term of Eq.(B.2).

The first term of Eq.(B.2) has

$$\langle \nabla^2\psi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_J = \nabla^2 \langle \psi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_J. \tag{B.3}$$

Changing the ordering of $\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}$ and ∇^2, using the definition

$$G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = \frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle_J|_{J=0}, \tag{B.4}$$

we obtain

$$\nabla^2\left(\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle_J\right)|_{J=0} = \nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'). \tag{B.5}$$

For the remaining three terms of Eq.(B.2), we firstly work in the Gaussian approximation [67], i.e, at the lowest order of fluctuation,

$$\langle\frac{(\nabla\psi)^2}{\psi}\rangle_J \simeq \frac{(\nabla\langle\psi\rangle_J)^2}{\langle\psi\rangle_J}, \tag{B.6}$$

$\langle\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle|_{J=0} = \psi_0 = 1$, $\nabla\psi_0 = 0$, so that the second term vanishes. The third and fourth terms involve

$$\langle\psi^2\rangle_J \simeq \langle\psi\rangle_J^2. \tag{B.7}$$

By Eq.(B.4) and

$$\frac{\delta J(\mathbf{r})}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}')} = \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'), \tag{B.8}$$

Eq.(B.2) in the Gaussian approximation reduces to the Helmholtz equation with a point source

$$\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) + k_0^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{\alpha}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{B.9}$$

where $k_0 = \sqrt{2}k_J$ is the characteristic wavenumber. The term $+k_0^2 G^{(2)}$ has a plus sign because gravity is attractive. The Gaussian solution is of the form:

$$G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \propto \frac{Gm}{c_s^2}\frac{\cos(k_0 r)}{r}, \quad \frac{Gm}{c_s^2}\frac{\sin(k_0\, r)}{r}, \tag{B.10}$$

subject to certain boundary condition in specific applications. From Eq.(B.10), we find an important property that the amplitude is proportional to the mass m:

$$G^{(2)} \propto m. \tag{B.11}$$

By Fourier transform

$$G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int P(k)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}d^3r \tag{B.12}$$

the power spectrum in the Gaussian approximation is

$$P(k) = \frac{1}{2n_0}\frac{1}{(k/k_0)^2 - 1}, \tag{B.13}$$

telling that $P(k)$ is higher for galactic objects with a lower spatial number density n_0. We just mention that the similar form of Eq.(B.9) also occurs in the the Gaussian approximation of the Landau-Ginziburg theory of phase transition [29, 10], where $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$ is also called the bare propagator. However, in Landau-Ginziburg theory, the corresponding term $-\mu^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$, in place of $+k_0^2 2G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$, has a negative sign, the solution is $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \propto e^{-\mu r}/r$ with $1/\mu$ being the correlation length. At the critical point of phase transition, $\mu \to 0$, $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \propto 1/r$, the correlation becomes long-range. In contrast, in our case, gravity is a long range attractive interaction, the self-gravitating system is long-range correlated, as evidenced by the fact that $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq.(B.10) has $\cos(k_0 r)$ and $\sin(k_0 r)$, instead of the exponential decay $e^{-\mu r}$. In this sense, the self-gravitating system is always at the critical point of phase transition [51].

Now beyond the Gaussian approximation, we shall include high order terms of the fluctuation $\delta\psi$, in calculation of in the remaining three terms of Eq.(B.2).

The third term of Eq.(B.2) is simple and has

$$\langle\psi^2\rangle_J = \langle(\langle\psi\rangle + \delta\psi)^2\rangle_J = \langle\psi\rangle_J^2 + \langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle_J, \tag{B.14}$$

where $\langle\delta\psi\rangle_J = 0$ is used. Applying $\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}$ to the above yields

$$k_J^2\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}(\langle\psi\rangle_J^2 + \langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle_J)_J|_{J=0} = 2\psi_0 k_J^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') + k_J^2 G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'), \tag{B.15}$$

where the 3-pt correlation function $G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}\langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle|_{J=0}$ is used. In our previous treatment [66], $G^{(3)}$ in the above was dropped as a high-order term.

The fourth term of Eq.(B.2) is also simple and has

$$\langle J\psi^2\rangle_J = J\langle\psi^2\rangle_J = J\langle\psi\rangle_J^2 + J\langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle_J, \tag{B.16}$$

and, by Eq.(B.8), it gives

$$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}\langle J\psi^2\rangle_J|_{J=0} = \frac{1}{\alpha}[\psi_0^2 + G^{(2)}(0)]\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'). \tag{B.17}$$

Here $G^{(2)}(0) = \langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle = \lim_{r'\to r} G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$. This quantity might be divergent as $\mathbf{r}'\to\mathbf{r}$. Of course, for the system of galaxies, the definition of $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$ applies only for $r > r_c$, the galaxy size. The occurrence of the quantity $G^{(2)}(0)$, and later also $\nabla G^{(2)}(0)$ and $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)$, is inevitable when high order terms of $\delta\psi$ are included beyond the Gaussian approximation. This is common in calculating the 2-point correlation function in any field theory with interactions, both in particle physics and condensed matter physics. In the former case, the analogue of $G^{(2)}(0)$ is divergent, and, in the latter, a cutoff is introduced for $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'| \geq r_c$, and $G^{(2)}(0)$ is finite. For example, in our case, it could be expressed as an integration over the momentum

$$G^{(2)}(0) = \lim_{r\to r'}\int d^3k\frac{e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}}{k^2-k_0^2} = \int d^3k\frac{1}{k^2-k_0^2} \tag{B.18}$$

of the "bare" propagator $1/(k^2-k_0^2)$ of the Gaussian approximation. $\nabla G^{(2)}(0)$ and $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)$ will have the similar expressions, correspondingly. These three quantities at zero separation $r = 0$ are undetermined in our case for the system of galaxies. In fact, as in field theory, one is usually not interested in the specific values of these quantities at all. The standard procedure to handle these quantities is the well-known renormalization. These quantities are eventually absorbed into the physical quantities, such as the mass, the field, the coupling constant, etc, depending on the specific field theory concerned [10]. In this paper, similarly, we shall also adopt the practice of renormalization to absorb $G^{(2)}(0)$, $\nabla G^{(2)}(0)$, and $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)$.

The second term of Eq.(B.2) is more involved, as it has a factor $\frac{1}{\psi}$. To deal with this term systematically, we expand it in terms of the fluctuation $\delta\psi$ up to

$$\frac{1}{\psi} = \frac{1}{\langle\psi\rangle+\delta\psi} \simeq \frac{1}{\langle\psi\rangle}\left(1 - \frac{\delta\psi}{\langle\psi\rangle} + (\frac{\delta\psi}{\langle\psi\rangle})^2\right). \tag{B.19}$$

(We skip the subscript "J" in $\langle\psi\rangle_J$ temporarily in the following for simple notation.) As an approximation, this perturbation is accurate only for $\delta\psi/\langle\psi\rangle \ll 1$. At small scales, $\delta\psi$ can be

larger than $\langle\psi\rangle$, so it can be anticipated that the small-scale high nonlinearities may not be sufficiently accounted for in this order of perturbations. By Eq.(B.19), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\frac{(\nabla\psi)^2}{\psi}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\langle\psi\rangle}\langle\left(1-\frac{\delta\psi}{\langle\psi\rangle}+(\frac{\delta\psi}{\langle\psi\rangle})^2\right)(\nabla\langle\psi\rangle+\nabla\delta\psi)^2\rangle \\ &\simeq \frac{(\nabla\langle\psi\rangle)^2}{\langle\psi\rangle}+\frac{\langle(\nabla\delta\psi)^2\rangle}{\langle\psi\rangle}-\frac{2\nabla\langle\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi\rangle^2}\cdot\langle\delta\psi\nabla\delta\psi\rangle \\ &+\frac{(\nabla\langle\psi\rangle)^2}{\langle\psi\rangle^3}\langle(\delta\psi)^2\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

where $\langle\delta\psi\rangle = 0$ is used, and $(\delta\psi)^3$ and higher have been dropped. (B.20) contains four sub-terms. The first and second terms of (B.20) will be treated together. In our previous treatment [66], $\langle(\nabla\delta\psi)^2\rangle \to \nabla^2\langle(\delta\psi)^2\rangle$ was taken, which was not correct. Now we treat it in the following. By the field equation (11), one has

$$(\nabla\psi)^2 = \psi\nabla^2\psi + (k_J^2+J)\psi^3, \tag{B.21}$$

and there is an identity:

$$(\nabla\psi)^2 = \nabla\cdot(\psi\nabla\psi) - \psi\nabla^2\psi = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\psi^2 - \psi\nabla^2\psi. \tag{B.22}$$

Eq.(B.21) and Eq.(B.22) are added together yield

$$(\nabla\psi)^2 = \frac{1}{4}\nabla^2\psi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(k_J^2+J)\psi^3. \tag{B.23}$$

Taking the ensemble average of Eq.(B.23), we have

$$\langle(\nabla\psi)^2\rangle = \frac{1}{4}\langle\nabla^2\psi^2\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(k_J^2+J)\langle\psi^3\rangle \tag{B.24}$$

Substituting $\psi = \langle\psi\rangle + \delta\psi$ into both sides leads to

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla\langle\psi\rangle)^2 + \langle(\nabla\delta\psi)^2\rangle &= \frac{1}{4}\nabla^2\langle\psi\rangle^2 + \frac{1}{4}\nabla^2\langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(k_J^2+J)\langle\psi\rangle^3 + \frac{3}{2}(k_J^2+J)\langle\psi\rangle\langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{B.25}$$

where $\langle\delta\psi\rangle = 0$ is used, and the higher order term $\langle(\delta\psi)^3\rangle$ is dropped. Thus, the first and second sub-terms of (B.20) together give

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(\nabla\langle\psi\rangle)^2}{\langle\psi\rangle} + \frac{\langle(\nabla\delta\psi)^2\rangle}{\langle\psi\rangle} &= \frac{1}{4}\frac{\nabla^2\langle\psi\rangle^2}{\langle\psi\rangle} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\nabla^2\langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi\rangle} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(k_J^2+J)\langle\psi\rangle^2 + \frac{3}{2}(k_J^2+J)\langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{B.26}$$

Applying $\frac{\delta}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}$ to Eq.(B.26) and setting $J=0$ yields the contribution of the first and second terms of Eq.(B.20):

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}[\frac{(\nabla\langle\psi\rangle)^2}{\langle\psi\rangle} + \frac{\langle(\nabla\delta\psi)^2\rangle}{\langle\psi\rangle}]|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') + k_J^2\psi_0 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') \\ &- \frac{1}{4\psi_0^2}\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)\cdot G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') \\ &+ (\frac{1}{4\psi_0}\nabla^2 + \frac{3}{2}k_J^2)G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\alpha}[\psi_0^2 + 3G^{(2)}(0)]\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'). \end{aligned} \tag{B.27}$$

where $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0) = \nabla^2\langle\delta\psi\delta\psi\rangle = \lim_{r\to 0}\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$. The third term of (B.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}[\frac{\nabla\langle\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi\rangle^2}\cdot\langle(\nabla\delta\psi)\delta\psi\rangle]|_{J=0}\\
&=-\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}[\frac{\nabla\langle\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi\rangle^2}\cdot\langle\nabla(\delta\psi)^2\rangle]|_{J=0}\\
&=-\frac{1}{\psi_0^2}\nabla G^{(2)}(0)\cdot\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'). \qquad \text{(B.28)}
\end{aligned}$$

The fourth term of (B.20) yields

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}[\frac{(\nabla\langle\psi\rangle)^2}{\langle\psi\rangle^3}\langle(\delta\psi)^2\rangle]|_{J=0}=0 \qquad \text{(B.29)}$$

by $\nabla\psi_0 = 0$. The sum of Eqs.(B.27), (B.28) and (B.29) gives the contribution of the second term of (B.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\delta}{\alpha\delta J(\mathbf{r}')}\langle\frac{(\nabla\psi)^2}{\psi}\rangle|_{J=0}\\
&=-[\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2+k_J^2\psi_0-\frac{\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)}{4\psi_0^2}]G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\\
&+\frac{1}{\psi_0^2}\nabla G^{(2)}(0)\cdot\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')-(\frac{1}{4\psi_0}\nabla^2+\frac{3}{2}k_J^2)G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\\
&-\frac{1}{2\alpha}[\psi_0^2+3G^{(2)}(0)]\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'). \qquad \text{(B.30)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, plugging Eqs.(B.5), (B.15), (B.17), and (B.30) into Eq.(B.2), we obtain the equation of 2-pt correlation function:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\nabla^2+2k_J^2\psi_0)G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\\
&+[\frac{1}{2\psi_0^2}\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')-(\frac{1}{2\psi_0}\nabla^2+k_J^2)G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\\
&+\frac{2}{\psi_0^2}\nabla G^{(2)}(0)\cdot\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')]\\
&=-\frac{1}{\alpha}[\psi_0^2-G^{(2)}(0)]\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'). \qquad \text{(B.31)}
\end{aligned}$$

This is just Eq.(24) in the text.

Observe that this equation is not closed for $G^{(2)}$, but contains $G^{(3)}$, as is expected. One would go on to get the field equation of $G^{(3)}$, etc. This kind of hierarchy is common to the field equation of correlations in a nonlinear theory when the perturbation method is used. To cut off the hierarchy and get a closed equation for $G^{(2)}$, we adopt the Kirkwood-Groth-Peebles ansatz in Eq.(25) [36, 32]. For simplicity, taking $\mathbf{r}'$ be the origin 0, the ansatz is

$$G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')=G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},0)=Q[2G^{(2)}(0)G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})+(G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))^2]. \qquad \text{(B.32)}$$

We mention that $G^{(3)}$ is of order $(\delta\psi)^3$ and $G^{(2)}$ is of order $(\delta\psi)^2$, therefore, the use of ansatz causes an increase of order of the terms containing Q in perturbation. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(\frac{1}{2\psi_0}\nabla^2+k_J^2)G^{(3)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},0)\\
&=-Q[\frac{1}{\psi_0}G^{(2)}(0)\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})+\frac{1}{\psi_0}(\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))^2\\
&+\frac{1}{\psi_0}G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})+2k_J^2 G^{(2)}(0)G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})+k_J^2(G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))^2\\
&+\frac{1}{\psi_0}G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)+\frac{2}{\psi_0}\nabla G^{(2)}(0)\cdot\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})]. \qquad \text{(B.33)}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (B.33) into (B.31), we get the field equation of $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1-\frac{Q}{\psi_0}G^{(2)}(0))\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \\
&+[\frac{1}{2\psi_0^2}(1-2Q\psi_0)\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)+2k_J^2\psi_0(1-\frac{Q}{\psi_0}G^{(2)}(0))]G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \\
&-Qk_J^2(G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))^2-\frac{Q}{\psi_0}G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})-\frac{Q}{\psi_0}(\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))^2 \\
&+\frac{2}{\psi_0^2}(1-Q\psi_0)\nabla G^{(2)}(0)\cdot\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \\
&=-\frac{1}{\alpha}[\psi_0^2-G^{(2)}(0)]\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.34}
$$

which is closed in terms of $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$. Now we introduce the notations

$$\mathbf{a}\equiv\frac{2}{\psi_0^2}(1-Q\psi_0)\nabla G^{(2)}(0), \tag{B.35}$$

$$b\equiv\frac{Q}{\psi_0}, \tag{B.36}$$

$$c\equiv\frac{Qk_J^2}{k_0^2}, \tag{B.37}$$

$$k_0^2\equiv 2k_J^2\psi_0(1-bG^{(2)}(0))+\frac{1}{2\psi_0^2}(1-2Q\psi_0)\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0). \tag{B.38}$$

Then (B.34) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[1-bG^{(2)}(0)-bG^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})]\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})+k_0^2(1-cG^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \\
&+[\mathbf{a}-b\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})]\cdot\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{1}{\alpha}[\psi_0^2-G^{(2)}(0)]\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.39}
$$

Note that the parameter Q has been absorbed into $\mathbf{a}$, b, c, and the latter will be regarded as independent parameters. Let us do renormalization. The first term on l.h.s of (B.39) has $Z_0\equiv 1-bG^{(2)}(0)$ as part of the coefficient of $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$, which can be absorbed in the definition of $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$. Since $G^{(2)}\propto(\delta\psi)^2$, this amounts to the renormalization of the density field $\delta\psi$. Explicitly, multiplying Eq.(B.39) by Z_0^{-2} and making the following substitutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})\to G_R^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})\equiv Z_0^{-1}G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}),\quad k_0^2\to k_{0R}^2\equiv Z_0^{-1}k_0^2, \\
&c\to c_R\equiv Z_0 c,\quad \mathbf{a}\to\mathbf{a}_R\equiv Z_0^{-1}\mathbf{a},
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.40}
$$

$$\psi_0^2\to\psi_{0R}^2\equiv Z_0^{-2}(\psi_0^2-G^{(2)}(0)), \tag{B.41}$$

Eq.(B.39) finally becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1-bG^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})+k_0^2(1-cG^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \\
&+(\mathbf{a}-b\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}))\cdot\nabla G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{1}{\alpha}\psi_0^2\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.42}
$$

where all the quantities $\mathbf{a}$, c, k_0^2, $G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$, and ψ_0^2 are all understood to be the renormzlized ones, their subscript "R" being dropped for simple notation. The renormalized characteristic wavenumber k_0 is given by $k_0^2=2k_{JR}^2=8\pi Gm_R^2 n_0/T$, where

$$m_R^2\equiv m^2\psi_0+\frac{T}{8\pi Gn_0}Z_0^{-1}\frac{1}{2\psi_0^2}(1-2Q\psi_0)\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0). \tag{B.43}$$

m_R is the renormalized mass in place of the "bare" mass m, and its subscript "R" will also be dropped from now on for simple notation. Thus, by the renormalization procedure, $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)$ has been absorbed by m, $\nabla G^{(2)}(0)$ absorbed by $\mathbf{a}$, and $G^{(2)}(0)$ by ψ_0, $\delta\psi$, Q, and others. After the renormalization, one can set $\psi_0 = 1$ in Eq.(B.42).

When $\mathbf{a} = 0$, $b = 0$, $c = 0$, Eq.(B.42) reduces to the Helmholtz equation in Eq.(B.9) in the Gaussian approximation. Thus, the terms involving $\mathbf{a}$, b and c are the nonlinear effects at the order $(\delta\psi)^2$ beyond the Gaussian approximation. By isotropy of the system, it is simpler to write the field equation (B.42) in the radial direction. Denoting $\xi(x) \equiv G^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$, $x \equiv k_0 r$, and $\xi' \equiv \frac{d}{dx}\xi(x)$, then Eq.(B.42) becomes

$$(1 - b\xi)\xi'' + ((1 - b\xi)\frac{2}{x} + a)\xi' + \xi - b\xi'^2 - c\xi^2 = -\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\delta(x)k_0}{x^2} \quad \text{(B.44)}$$

where $a \equiv |\mathbf{a}/k_0|$. The dimensionless parameters a, b, and c will be regarded as independent, even though they essentially come from the combinations of the quantities Q, $G^{(2)}(0)$, $\nabla G^{(2)}(0)$, and $\nabla^2 G^{(2)}(0)$. The parameter a in Eq.(B.44) plays a role of the effective viscosity. The nonlinear terms ξ'^2 and ξ^2 can enhance the correlation at small scales. Compared with Eq.(8) in Reference [66], now Eq.(B.44) has a new term $-b\xi$ in the coefficients of ξ'' and $\frac{2}{x}$, and a new term $-c\xi^2$. As we have checked, for the values a, b, c taken in confronting the observational data of surveys, the numerical solutions of the two equations differ only slightly.